

09ST5250/DE ST: Ecclesiology and Sacraments

Scott R. Swain

Reformed Theological Seminary, New York

Fall 2021

In-person/asynchronous blend

Course description

In this course we will study the doctrines of the church and the sacraments. We will seek to contemplate, appreciate, and apply these doctrines as they are revealed in Holy Scripture and summarized in the Reformed confessions to the end that God might be glorified “in the church and in Christ Jesus throughout all generations” (Ephesians 3:21). (2 credit hours)

Required reading

Ephesians (students are required to read Ephesians slowly and prayerfully at least four times over the course of the semester)

Michael Allen and Scott R. Swain, ed., *Christian Dogmatics: Reformed Theology for the Church Catholic* (Baker Academic, 2016). ISBN 13: 9780801048944

Howard Griffith, *Spreading the Feast: Instruction & Meditations for Ministry at the Lord's Table* (P & R, 2015). ISBN 13: 978629951768

Robert Sherman, *Covenant, Community, and the Spirit: A Trinitarian Theology of the Church* (Baker Academic, 2015). ISBN 13: 9780801049743

Gregg Strawbridge, ed., *The Case for Covenantal Infant Baptism* (P & R, 2003). ISBN 13: 9780875525549

Note: Other short articles and essays will be made available over the course of the semester.

Assignments

1. **Ephesians report (5 % of final grade):** Students are required to read Ephesians slowly and prayerfully at least four times over the course of the semester. Students will provide a reading report on the final exam indicating whether or not they have done so. Due December 16, 2021.
2. **Reading report (20 % of final grade):** Attached to the final exam, students will turn in a reading report stating the percentage of the assigned readings. Due December 16, 2021.
3. **Discussion Posts (5% of final grade):** Students must have regular and substantive interactions with the professor via online discussion forum posts. Five discussion questions will be posted; students are responsible for answering these questions and replying to the professor's interactions where appropriate. Due December 16, 2021.
4. **Exam (45 % of final grade):** Students will take one exam that will test students' critical grasp of doctrinal topics covered in class lectures, readings, and the Reformed confessions as well as their ability to communicate doctrinal topics in a clear manner. Due December 16, 2021.
5. **Research Paper (25 % of final grade):** Students will write 12-15 page research paper on one

of the topics treated in the course. Papers will be evaluated based on their ability (1) to articulate a clear thesis that rests upon sound biblical and theological argumentation and that addresses the strongest counterarguments to the thesis; (2) to engage with appropriate scholarly resources (at least ten, with bibliography attached); (3) to follow the prescribed format (double spaced, Times New Roman font, Turabian format). For more details on the research paper, see below: "How to research and write a research paper." Due December 9, 2021.

Schedule of Assignments

Sept 24-25	In-person lectures (9/24: 6pm-9pm; 9/25: 9am-6pm)
Sept 26-Dec 9	The Ontological Foundation of the Church, Pt. 1 The Ontological Foundation of the Church, Pt. 2 The Epistemological Foundation of the Church, Pt. 1 The Epistemological Foundation of the Church, Pt. 2 The Church Defined, Pt. 1 The Church Defined, Pt. 2 The Church Defined, Pt. 3 The Church Revealed in Abraham The Patriarchal Church The Church under Moses The Office of Elder The Relationship between Christology and Ecclesiology The Church in the Gospel of Matthew The Visible and Invisible Church Marks of the Church The Ministry of the Church, Pt. 1 The Ministry of the Church, Pt. 2 An Introduction to the Sacraments Sacraments as Signed, Sealed, and Delivered The Sacrament of Baptism, Pt. 1 The Sacrament of Baptism, Pt. 2 Infant Baptism Meaning and Practice of the Lord's Supper Debates Surrounding the Lord's Supper
Dec 9	<i>Research Paper due at 11:59pm (submitted via Canvas)</i>
Dec 16	<i>All readings and final exam due at 11:59pm (submitted via Canvas)</i>

Academic Policies

- 1. Late assignments:** Apart from exceptional circumstances, I will not accept late assignments for credit.
- 2. Plagiarism:** Plagiarism, whether intentional or unintentional, will result in a failing grade for the course.

How to research and write a research paper¹

I. Elements of a sound theological argument

A. Introduction

1. The major elements of a sound theological argument include the following²
 - a. Thesis/claim
 - b. Grounds
 - c. Warrants
 - d. Backing
 - e. Qualifier
 - f. Rebuttal

* Note: These are *elements* of a sound theological argument, not *sections* of your research paper.

2. More briefly put, those elements include
 - a. Thesis/claim
 - b. Arguments and evidence that support your thesis
 - c. Arguments and evidence that rebut objections to your thesis

B. Thesis/claim: A thesis statement is the major claim or assertion of your research paper. The entire research paper is devoted to establishing your thesis through sound biblical and theological argumentation and to defending your thesis against objections.

1. Diagnostic questions
 - a. Is my thesis statement significant?
 - b. Is my thesis statement specific?
2. Examples of good thesis statements
 - a. "Although the Westminster Standards do not refer explicitly to the doctrine of the *pactum salutis*, the substance of the doctrine as taught by many 17th century Reformed divines is affirmed therein."
 - b. "In his controversial redefinition of the traditional Protestant doctrine of justification, N. T. Wright confuses the general issue of covenant membership with the particular issue of justification, which does not connote one's covenant membership but one's legal right to covenant blessings."
 - c. "Although Reformed systematic theology is sometimes accused of neglecting the person and work of the Holy Spirit, the triadic structure of the Reformed doctrine of salvation (i.e., *pactum salutis*, *historia salutis*, *ordo salutis*) provides a robust framework for appreciating the Holy Spirit's role in saving sinners."
 - d. "The grace of adoption is the temporal term (i.e., goal) of the Son's incarnate mission."

¹ For further guidance on this topic, see Wayne C. Booth, Gregory G. Colomb, and Joseph M. Williams, *The Craft of Research*.

² Adapted from Stephen Toulmin, *The Uses of Argument*.

3. A good resource for developing a theological thesis: the “*quaestio*” (see, for example, Zacharias Ursinus’ *Commentary on the Heidelberg Catechism* or Francis Turretin’s *Institutes of Elenctic Theology*)
4. Distinguishing the “order of discovery” from the “order of composition”: a good *plan of research* that leads to a good *research paper*
 - a. Usually, one develops a thesis very late in the process of researching a topic.
 - b. Thus, one’s research strategy should not be first to devise a thesis and then to do one’s research.
 - c. Rather, one should (i) find a topic that interests you, (ii) research it thoroughly, (iii) gather a broad understanding of the issues, questions, debates, and arguments related to your topic, and (iv) finally construct a thesis that one can argue on the basis of the research you have undertaken.
 - d. You can then structure a paper around proving and defending your thesis statement on the basis of your research.

C. Grounds: Grounds provide the reasons and evidences used to support the paper’s thesis/major claim

1. Note: The *type* of theology paper that you are writing (see II. below) will determine the *type* of grounds to which you must appeal in establishing your thesis.
2. Potential sources for grounding a theological claim include:
 - a. Biblical exegesis
 - b. Ecclesiastical authority (creeds, confessions, trusted doctors of the church, ecclesiastical consensus); in classical dogmatic reasoning, these subordinate authorities provide “probable” arguments in doctrinal argumentation
 - c. Historical evidence
 - d. Rational arguments³
 - e. Reliable scholarship (primary and secondary sources)

D. Warrants: Warrants (which often remain implicit in your paper) connect your *grounds* to your *thesis/claim* by explaining the logical relevance of your grounds to your thesis. In other words, warrants answer the question: “Why do *these* arguments or *this* evidence ‘count as’ support for *this* thesis/claim.”

1. You do not always need to state your warrants explicitly. Sometimes warrants are shared by you and your reader or by the persons whose claims are being debated in your paper.

* For example: A paper criticizing N. T. Wright’s view of justification would not necessarily need to explain why biblical exegesis must be determinative for one’s view of justification. That is not a point of dispute between Wright and confessional Protestants.

2. You may need to spell out your warrants when they are not shared by all parties in a debate, or when the particular relevance of an argument or piece of evidence may not be self-evident to your reader.

³ Chapter eight of John Frame’s *DKG* provides a helpful introduction to the use of rational argumentation in theology.

* For example: A paper defending the practice of infant baptism might need to explain why it is that an OT passage would bear on the discussion of a NT sacrament.

3. In the process of your research, you should *always* ask yourself whether or not your arguments and evidence are warranted, i.e., *whether and how* they provide support to your thesis/claim.

E. Backing: Backing provides further support for your warrants, though it may not support your thesis directly.

* For example: In trying to explain the warrant for using OT texts in an argument for infant baptism, you might appeal to the sound hermeneutical practice of building *other* doctrines via redemptive-historical exegesis, i.e., by reading the Bible from beginning to end.

F. Qualifiers: Qualifiers put limitations on your thesis/claim and protect you from overstating your case.

1. Sample thesis: "Although Reformed systematic theology is sometimes accused of neglecting the person and work of the Holy Spirit, the triadic structure of the Reformed doctrine of salvation (i.e., *pactum salutis*, *historia salutis*, *ordo salutis*) provides a robust framework for appreciating the Holy Spirit's role in saving sinners."
2. Sample qualifier: "Although Reformed systematic theology is sometimes accused of neglecting the person and work of the Holy Spirit, the triadic structure of the Reformed doctrine of salvation (i.e., *pactum salutis*, *historia salutis*, *ordo salutis*) provides a robust framework for appreciating the Holy Spirit's role in saving sinners. *To be sure, Reformed Christians have sometimes failed to appreciate the significance of the third person of the Trinity, but this occurs as a result of neglecting their system of theology and not as its natural consequence.*"

G. Rebuttal: In your rebuttal, you acknowledge, accurately summarize, and refute objections to your claim, as well as the grounds (and sometimes warrants) upon which those objections are based.

* Note: *Strong thesis statements* are built upon the acknowledgment, fair summarization, and cogent refutation of the *strongest possible objections* to the thesis.

II. Types of theology papers

A. All papers in this course must articulate and defend a thesis statement related to one of the doctrines discussed in this course.

B. Nevertheless, you may approach your topic from one of the following different perspectives:

1. The primarily *exegetical* theology paper: Focus on a particular biblical text or series of biblical texts which articulate the biblical "grammar" of your doctrine.
2. The primarily *historical* theology paper: Focus on a historical figure(s), text(s), or event(s) related to your chosen doctrinal topic.
3. The primarily *dogmatic* theology paper: Focus on expounding a particular doctrinal *locus*, providing a summary of the biblical and theological grounds upon which that *locus* rests, and refuting the major objections to it.

III. Research paper format

A. There is a difference between *constructing* a sound theological argument (= logic) and *presenting* a sound theological argument (= rhetoric). Through your *research*, you will construct a sound theological argument. In your *paper*, you will present that argument in rhetorically fitting, clear English prose.

B. Paper structure

1. Introduction: The first 2-3 paragraphs of your paper should:
 - a. Pique the reader's interest in your topic →
 - b. Provide a brief introduction to the problem (*quaestio*!) which your paper seeks to address → [Note: your work in I.B.4.c.(iii) provides the basis for this.]
 - c. Clearly state your *thesis*—the specific, significant claim that your paper seeks to prove through sound argumentation and evidence and to defend against objections (note: your thesis is a *claim* that *addresses or answers* the problem/*quaestio* you raise in your introduction [see sample theses above]) →
 - d. Provide a brief overview of the structure of your paper.
2. Body:
 - a. In the body of your paper, you will elaborate upon your thesis, adequately furnish grounds that support your thesis, discuss and defend warrants as necessary, and deal with objections fairly and decisively.
 - b. The *structure* of the body of your paper will vary depending upon the type of paper that you are writing (e.g., exegetical, historical, dogmatic, etc.).
 - c. Nevertheless, the structure should be transparent to your reader and should be written in such a way that the reader can follow your argument as easily as possible.
3. Conclusion: In the last paragraph of your paper, you will restate/summarize your thesis and its supporting argumentation, and briefly point to the relevance of your thesis for the church's thought and/or life.
4. Sample structure for the body of a paper written to support the following thesis: "Although the Westminster Standards do not refer explicitly to the doctrine of the *pactum salutis*, the substance of the doctrine as taught by many 17th century Reformed divines is affirmed therein."
 - a. Introduction
 - b. Body
 - i. Briefly trace the *historical development* of the doctrine of the *pactum salutis* and summarize the major *elements* of the doctrine as presented by 17th century Reformed divines.
 - ii. Demonstrate that the *term* "*pactum salutis*" (or its terminological equivalents) does not appear in the Westminster Standards.
 - iii. Demonstrate that the *elements* of the doctrine do appear in the Westminster Standards; discuss the *places* where those elements do appear; discuss any *terms* that appear in the Westminster Standards and that typically appear in discussions of the *pactum salutis* (e.g., "surety,"

- etc.).
- iv. Discuss reasons (found in your research and/or offered by other scholars) why the *pactum salutis* is not explicitly mentioned in the Westminster Standards, including suggestions that the Westminster divines either *objected* to this doctrine or found it otherwise *unworthy of inclusion* in the Confession and Catechisms.
- v. Discuss corroborating evidence for believing that the Westminster Standards affirm the substance of the doctrine (e.g., explicit mention of the doctrine in “The Sum of Saving Knowledge”; explicit mention of the doctrine in The Savoy Declaration; explicit defense of the doctrine by Westminster divines in other publications; etc.).

c. Conclusion

IV. Other requirements

- A. The paper should be 12-15 pages, double spaced, 12 pt Times New Roman font, Turabian format
- B. The paper should be written in clear, interesting, formal English prose (use a proofreader!), without any grammatical or spelling mistakes.
- C. The paper should interact intelligently and fairly with at least 10 scholarly (non-internet) resources.

V. A note on authorial point of view

- A. In this research paper, you are not expected to make an original contribution to scholarship or to change the landscape of academic theology in the 21st century.
- B. One of the main goals of this paper is to help you become a *thoughtful and articulate representative* of the church’s confession. In other words, this paper should help you become someone who speaks eloquently *for* the church on the basis of an *intelligent, well-instructed grasp* of the biblical and theological foundations of the church’s confession (cf. 2 Pet 3.16).
- C. This goal is not a roadblock to true theological creativity but a means of empowering and enabling true theological creativity: One must *first* have a profound grasp of the “grammar” of theology before one can compose “creative” theological statements (in prayer, sermons, papers, etc.). Too often, we skip the foundational step of mastering our theological “grammar,” and that is why we often stutter.

For further reading

Gregg Allison, *Sojourners and Strangers: The Doctrine of the Church*

- James Bannerman, *The Church of Christ*, 2 vols. Herman Bavinck, *Reformed Dogmatics*, 4 vols.
- Herman Bavinck, *Saved by Grace: The Holy Spirit's Work in Calling and Regeneration*
- G. K. Beale, *The Temple and the Church's Mission*
- J. Todd Billings, *Union with Christ: Reframing Theology and Ministry for the Church*
- Dietrich Bonhoeffer, *Life Together*
- John Calvin, *Treatises on the Sacraments* The Catechism of the Catholic Church
- Kevin DeYoung and Greg Gilbert, *What is the Mission of the Church? Making Sense of Social Justice, Shalom, and the Great Commission*
- Peter Gentry and Stephen Wellum, *Kingdom through Covenant: A Biblical-Theological Understanding of the Covenants*
- Michael Goheen, *A Light to the Nations: The Missional Church and the Biblical Story*
- Stanley Hauerwas and William Willimon, *Resident Aliens*
- Michael Horton, *People and Place: A Covenant Ecclesiology*
- Hans Küng, *The Church*
- Abraham Kuyper, *Our Worship*
- L. Michael Morales, *Who Shall Ascend the Mountain of the Lord? A Biblical Theology of the Book of Leviticus*
- Stuart Robinson, *The Church of God as an Essential Element of the Gospel*
- Thomas Schreiner and Shawn Wright, ed., *Believer's Baptism: Sign of the New Covenant in Christ*
- Timothy Tennant, *Theology in the Context of World Christianity*
- Carl Trueman, *The Creedal Imperative*
- Francis Turretin, *Institutes of Elenctic Theology*, 3 vols.
- Cornelis Venema, "The Doctrine of the Sacraments and Baptism according to the Reformed Confessions," *MTJ* 11 (2000): 21-86.
- Cornelis Venema, "The Doctrine of the Lord's Supper in the Reformed Confessions," *MTJ* 12 (2001): 81-145.
- Miroslav Volf, *After Our Likeness: The Church as the Image of the Trinity*

Guy Prentiss Waters, *How Jesus Runs the Church*



Course Objectives Related to MDiv* Student Learning Outcomes

Course: 09ST5250/DE-ST: Ecclesiology and Sacraments

Professor: Scott R. Swain

Campus: NYC

Date: Fall 2021

MDiv* Student Learning Outcomes <i>In order to measure the success of the MDiv curriculum, RTS has defined the following as the intended outcomes of the student learning process. Each course contributes to these overall outcomes. This rubric shows the contribution of this course to the MDiv outcomes.</i> <small>*As the MDiv is the core degree at RTS, the MDiv rubric will be used in this syllabus.</small>		Rubric <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong • Moderate • Minimal • None 	Mini-Justification
Articulation (oral & written)	Broadly understands and articulates knowledge, both oral and written, of essential biblical, theological, historical, and cultural/global information, including details, concepts, and frameworks. Also includes ability to preach and teach the meaning of Scripture to both heart and mind with clarity and enthusiasm.	Strong	Exams, research paper
Scripture	Significant knowledge of the original meaning of Scripture. Also, the concepts for and skill to research further into the original meaning of Scripture and to apply Scripture to a variety of modern circumstances. (Includes appropriate use of original languages and hermeneutics; and integrates theological, historical, and cultural/global perspectives.)	Strong	Focus of all ST courses
Reformed Theology	Significant knowledge of Reformed theology and practice, with emphasis on the Westminster Standards.	Strong	Focus of all ST courses
Sanctification	Demonstrates a love for the Triune God that aids the student's sanctification.	Moderate	Focus of all ST courses
Worldview	Burning desire to conform all of life to the Word of God. Includes ability to interact within a denominational context, within the broader worldwide church, and with significant public issues.	Strong	Focus of all ST courses
Winsomely Reformed	Embraces a winsomely Reformed ethos. (Includes an appropriate ecumenical spirit with other Christians, especially Evangelicals; a concern to present the Gospel in a God-honoring manner to non-Christians; and a truth-in-love attitude in disagreements.)	Strong	Careful engagement with other views of church and sacraments
Pastoral Ministry	Ability to minister the Word of God to hearts and lives of both church and unchurched, to include preaching, teaching, leading in worship, leading and shepherding the local congregation, aiding in spiritual maturity, concern for non-Christians.	Moderate	Sound understanding of biblical theology promotes sound preaching, worship practices, and pastoral ministry